

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE**

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| KEITH HARTNESS, |) | |
| |) | |
| Petitioner, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Nos. 3:11-CR-102-PLR-CCS-1 |
| |) | 3:14-CV-282-PLR |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | |
| |) | |
| Respondent. |) | |

JUDGMENT ORDER

For the reasons expressed in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that the United States’ motion to deny and dismiss [Doc. 38] is **GRANTED** and Petitioner’s supplemented § 2255 motion [Docs. 30, 33] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. If Petitioner files a notice of appeal from this judgment, such notice of appeal will be treated as an application for a certificate of appealability, which is **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) and Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) because he has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right. The Court **CERTIFIES** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) and Fed. R. App. P. 24 that any such appeal from this judgment would be frivolous and not taken in good faith.

ENTER:



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE